Jurnal Sosial, Sains, Terapan dan Riset (**Sosateris**)
ISSN 2829-7261 (Online) | 2303-3002 (Print)
Volume 10, No. 02, 2022, pp. 120-139

Article

Assessing Vulnerability to Climate-Induced Humanitarian Crises: A Global Perspective

Marsono Marsel Sihotang [1], Hoddinott [2], and Rogers [3]

[1] Community Development Spesialist at PT. Austindo Nusantara Jaya, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
[2,3] Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

Abstract: This research offers a comprehensive analysis of vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale. In a world increasingly impacted by the ramifications of climate change, understanding vulnerability becomes paramount. The study delves into the intricate web of factors that contribute to vulnerability, ranging from socio-economic disparities and healthcare infrastructure to environmental degradation and access to resources. Through a multidisciplinary approach, it identifies regions and populations most at risk, emphasizing the disproportionate burden borne by marginalized communities. The research underscores the urgency of proactive adaptation strategies, from climate-resilient infrastructure to community-based initiatives, and highlights the critical role of international cooperation. By providing evidence-based insights and policy recommendations, this research equips policymakers, humanitarian organizations, and communities with the tools needed to mitigate vulnerability, enhance resilience, and work collectively toward a more sustainable and equitable future in the face of a changing climate.

Keywords: Adaptation Strategies; Climate Change; Humanitarian Crises; Resilience; Vulnerability Assessment.

1. Introduction

The 21st century has witnessed an unprecedented and accelerating transformation in our global climate system, driven primarily by human activities, such as the burning of fossil fuels and deforestation. This transformation has manifested in the form of rising temperatures, shifting weather patterns, and an increased frequency and intensity of extreme climatic events(Beniston & Stephenson, 2004)(Easterling et al., 2000). The consequences of these changes extend far beyond environmental concerns, profoundly impacting societies, economies, and human well-being worldwide(Shrivastava et al., 2020).

One of the most significant and immediate challenges posed by climate change is the exacerbation of vulnerabilities that can lead to humanitarian crises(Schipper & Pelling, 2006)(Birkmann & von Teichman, 2010)(Warner, 2010)(Warner et al., 2010). Vulnerable populations, often residing in regions with limited resources and infrastructure, find themselves at the forefront of this crisis(Caballero-Anthony, 2005). Climate-induced humanitarian crises encompass a wide range of scenarios, from the displacement of communities due to sea-level rise, drought-induced food shortages, and the spread of diseases in warming climates to the intensification of conflicts over dwindling resources.

The consequences of these crises are far-reaching, including displacement, food insecurity, water scarcity, increased healthcare burdens, and potential conflict over dwindling resources(Bora et al., 2011). Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive understanding of the factors that render communities and regions susceptible to climate-induced humanitarian crises and the development of proactive strategies to mitigate these vulnerabilities(Bronen, 2011).

As the impacts of climate change continue to intensify, there is a growing urgency to assess, understand, and respond to the vulnerabilities that exist on a global scale(Kasperson & Kasperson, 2012)(Winn et al., 2011). This research endeavors to contribute to this

Correspondence:
Marsono Marsel Sihotang
marson@gmail.com.

Received: Apr 22, 2022
Revised: May 12, 2022
Accepted: Jun 21, 2022
Published: Jun 30, 2022

vital field of study by examining vulnerability factors, assessing their global distribution, and proposing strategies to enhance resilience and reduce the risk of climate-induced humanitarian crises(Prasad et al., 2009). In doing so, this research seeks to inform policymakers, humanitarian organizations, and communities to better prepare and respond to the complex challenges posed by a rapidly changing climate(Handmer & Dovers, 2013).

The increasing recognition of climate change as a global threat and its potential to trigger humanitarian crises represents a seismic shift in the collective understanding of our planet's most pressing challenges(Baldwin et al., 2019)(Cottle, 2009). In recent years, the convergence of scientific consensus, observable impacts, and heightened global awareness has propelled climate change to the forefront of international concerns(Owen, 2020)(Pinkse & Kolk, 2009)(Izaurralde et al., 2001). The scientific community's overwhelming agreement that climate change is primarily driven by human activities, coupled with stark, real-world evidence of extreme weather events, rising temperatures, and environmental degradation, has left little room for doubt. Moreover, the recognition that climate change is not a distant, future problem but a present-day emergency has mobilized millions worldwide in youth-led movements and climate activism(Han & Ahn, 2020)(Doshi et al., n.d.). Crucially, this recognition extends to the humanitarian sphere, where the links between climate change and crises such as forced migration, food and water insecurity, public health challenges, and conflicts have become increasingly evident(C. McMichael et al., 2012)(Brauch, 2009)(McAdam, 2012). As a result, international efforts to address climate change now encompass not only mitigation strategies but also adaptation and resilience-building measures to protect vulnerable communities in the face of this global threat.

The primary objectives of the research on are multifaceted and ambitious. Firstly, the study aims to conduct a comprehensive and multidimensional assessment of vulnerability factors across the globe that can precipitate humanitarian crises exacerbated by climate change. This involves a holistic examination of climate change impacts, socioeconomic conditions, healthcare infrastructure, environmental stressors, and more(A. J. McMichael, 2003)(Patz et al., 2000)(Hayes et al., 2018)(Warner et al., 2010). Secondly, the research seeks to create a detailed global map highlighting regions and populations most susceptible to these crises, thereby pinpointing geographic hotspots requiring immediate attention. It also strives to identify and prioritize the key drivers behind vulnerability, including disparities in wealth, governance effectiveness, environmental risks, and the specific climate-related hazards impacting different areas(Lahsen et al., 2010)(Jones & Preston, 2011).

Moreover, the research aims to go beyond assessment by proposing concrete, evidence-based strategies and policy recommendations to bolster the resilience of vulnerable communities and regions. By emphasizing proactive measures aimed at reducing the risk of humanitarian crises triggered by climate change, the study contributes to actionable solutions(Zuccaro et al., 2020). It also underscores the critical importance of integrating climate change adaptation into humanitarian and disaster response frameworks to bridge the gap between climate science and practical action(White, 2010)(Simpson et al., 2021)(Luetz & Merson, 2020)(Shrivastava et al., 2020). Community engagement lies at the core of these objectives, with an emphasis on empowering local communities, fostering cooperation among stakeholders, and promoting community-based approaches(Adato et al., 2005).

Lastly, the research recognizes the evolving nature of climate impacts and aims to establish a framework for continuous monitoring and evaluation of vulnerability and resilience measures(Silva Villanueva, 2011)(Bours et al., 2014). This ensures adaptability and effectiveness in addressing the ever-changing challenges posed by climate-induced humanitarian crises. Collectively, these objectives seek to offer a comprehensive understanding of the intricate relationship between climate change and humanitarian crises on a global scale, with the overarching goal of informing policy and action to diminish

vulnerability and enhance resilience in the face of climate-related adversities(Pelling, 2010)(Oliver-Smith, 2016)(Pelling, 2010).

The study aims to achieve a multifaceted understanding of vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale with several key objectives(Thomas & Benjamin, 2018). Firstly, it strives to provide a comprehensive assessment of the intricate web of factors that render communities and regions susceptible to crises exacerbated by climate change(Sadoff & Muller, 2009). This assessment encompasses climate-related hazards, socioeconomic disparities, healthcare infrastructure, governance effectiveness, and environmental vulnerabilities(Sperling & Szekely, 2005). By examining these elements holistically, the research seeks to uncover the root causes and complex interplay behind vulnerability(Ribot, 2017).

Secondly, the study aims to create a global mapping of vulnerability, offering a clear visualization of regions and populations most at risk(Ouma & Tateishi, 2014)(Preston et al., 2011)(Preston et al., 2011). This mapping will help identify geographic hotspots requiring immediate attention, enabling targeted and prioritized intervention strategies.

Moreover, the research aims to propose practical, evidence-based strategies and policy recommendations to enhance the resilience of vulnerable communities(Bellamy et al., 2006)(Anthony et al., 2003). By emphasizing proactive measures aimed at reducing the risk of humanitarian crises linked to climate change, the study aims to empower decision-makers and stakeholders with actionable insights(Annear et al., 2014)(Hung et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the study recognizes the vital importance of integrating climate adaptation into humanitarian and disaster response frameworks(Schipper & Pelling, 2006). It aims to bridge the gap between climate science and practical action by highlighting the need for adaptive strategies that consider evolving climate impacts(Handmer & Dovers, 2013).

Ultimately, the research aims to emphasize community engagement and community-based approaches as central to its objectives(Foley & Martin, 2000)(Kieft & Nur, 2002). By involving local communities, governments, NGOs, and international organizations, the study seeks to foster cooperation, empower individuals, and ensure that strategies are contextually relevant and effective(Ashman, 2001)(McMahon, 2001).

The study's overarching goal is to offer a comprehensive understanding of vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale. Through assessment, mapping, policy recommendations, adaptation strategies, and community engagement, it aspires to inform and guide efforts to reduce vulnerability and enhance resilience in the face of climate-related challenges, thereby contributing to global climate resilience and sustainable development goals.

In an era characterized by unprecedented global climate change, the world faces an escalating threat of climate-induced humanitarian crises that jeopardize the well-being and stability of communities across the planet. The accelerating impacts of rising temperatures, changing weather patterns, and extreme events are pushing vulnerable populations to the brink of disaster, creating an urgent need for comprehensive assessment and mitigation strategies. This research seeks to address the pressing problem of understanding, evaluating, and mitigating the vulnerability of communities and regions worldwide to climate-induced humanitarian crises by taking a holistic global perspective.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Research Methods

Assessing vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale involves a multifaceted approach that combines various research methods and tools(Chakraborty & Joshi, 2016). These methods are essential for gathering data, modeling vulnerability, and drawing meaningful conclusions(Hinkel, 2011). Here are some of the key research methods and tools commonly employed in such assessments(Eller et al., 2018)(Kothari, 2004)(Richey & Klein, 2014):

a. Data Collection
   Surveys and Interviews: Conducting surveys and interviews with local communities, experts, and stakeholders to gather qualitative and quantitative data on vulnerabilities, including socio-economic conditions, health status, and access to resources.
   Remote Sensing: Using satellite imagery and remote sensing technologies to monitor environmental changes, such as land use, vegetation cover, and temperature, which can contribute to vulnerability assessments.
   GIS (Geographic Information Systems): Employing GIS software to map and analyze spatial data, including topography, population density, infrastructure, and climate-related hazards.
b. Climate Data Analysis
   Climate Models: Utilizing climate models (e.g., General Circulation Models or Regional Climate Models) to simulate future climate scenarios and assess how changes in temperature, precipitation, and extreme events may impact vulnerability.
   Historical Climate Data: Analyzing historical climate data to understand past trends and their implications for vulnerability in specific regions.
c. Socioeconomic Analysis
   Income and Poverty Indices: Incorporating income and poverty data, as well as indices like the Human Development Index (HDI), to assess economic vulnerability.
   Access to Healthcare and Education: Evaluating access to healthcare facilities, educational resources, and healthcare outcomes to gauge vulnerability related to health and education.
d. Health Assessments
   Epidemiological Studies: Conducting epidemiological studies to assess the impact of climate change on disease vectors, waterborne diseases, and heat-related illnesses.
   Healthcare Infrastructure Analysis: Examining the state of healthcare infrastructure, availability of medical supplies, and healthcare access in vulnerable regions.
e. Infrastructure and Resilience Analysis
   Infrastructure Vulnerability Assessment: Evaluating the vulnerability of critical infrastructure such as dams, bridges, and hospitals to climate-related hazards.
   Resilience Index: Developing resilience indices to assess a community's or region's capacity to adapt to and recover from climate-induced crises.
f. Community Engagement
   Stakeholder Workshops: Organizing stakeholder workshops and participatory approaches to engage local communities in vulnerability assessments, ensuring that their knowledge and perspectives are incorporated.
   Community-Based Vulnerability Assessment: Involving local residents in the identification of their vulnerabilities and the co-design of adaptation strategies.
g. Modeling and Simulation
   Integrated Assessment Models: Using integrated assessment models to simulate various scenarios and assess the effectiveness of different adaptation and mitigation strategies.
   Risk Assessment Models: Employing risk assessment models to estimate the likelihood and impact of climate-related disasters and crises.
h. Interdisciplinary Research Teams: Building interdisciplinary research teams that combine expertise in climate science, social sciences, public health, engineering, and other relevant fields to address the multifaceted nature of vulnerability.

2.2. Data Sources

The data used in research assessing vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale is typically sourced from a wide range of relevant and reliable sources. These sources provide information on various aspects of vulnerability, including

climate-related factors, socioeconomic conditions, health indicators, and more. Here's an overview of where the data for such research is commonly sourced:

a. Historical Climate Data
   Meteorological Agencies: Data on historical climate patterns, temperature records, precipitation levels, and extreme weather events are often obtained from national and international meteorological agencies.
   Climate Databases: Global climate databases like those maintained by organizations such as the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) or the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) provide comprehensive historical climate data.
b. Socioeconomic Data
   National Statistical Offices: Socioeconomic data, including income, poverty rates, education levels, and population demographics, are typically sourced from national statistical offices and government agencies.
   International Organizations: Data from international organizations such as the United Nations, World Bank, and World Health Organization (WHO) provide global and regional socioeconomic indicators.
c. Health Data
   Healthcare Institutions: Data related to healthcare infrastructure, disease incidence, and healthcare outcomes are often collected from local healthcare institutions, hospitals, and clinics.
   Health Surveys: National health surveys and epidemiological studies contribute to understanding the health vulnerabilities associated with climate change, including the spread of diseases.
d. Infrastructure and Resilience Data
   Infrastructure Authorities: Data regarding the state of critical infrastructure, such as dams, transportation networks, and energy systems, may come from relevant governmental authorities and infrastructure monitoring agencies.
   Resilience Assessments: Information on community resilience and disaster preparedness can be sourced from assessments conducted by non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and research institutions.
e. Remote Sensing and GIS Data
   Satellite Imagery: Remote sensing data from satellites provide information on land cover, vegetation, deforestation, and environmental changes.
   Geospatial Databases: Geographic Information Systems (GIS) databases offer spatial data on topography, land use, and population distribution.
f. Community Surveys and Interviews
   Fieldwork: Data on community-specific vulnerabilities, local knowledge, and perceptions are often gathered through fieldwork, including surveys, interviews, and participatory approaches involving community members.
g. Integrated Assessment Models
   Model Outputs: Data from integrated assessment models, which simulate climate scenarios and their socioeconomic impacts, are used to understand vulnerability in various scenarios and assess the effectiveness of adaptation strategies.
h. Publicly Available Databases
   Open Data Platforms: Various open data platforms, both governmental and non-governmental, provide access to a wealth of data on climate, socioeconomic conditions, health, and more.

2.3. Geographical Focus.

The geographical scope of research assessing vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises plays a pivotal role in framing the study's objectives and depth of analysis. Researchers must carefully determine the scope based on their research goals,

available resources, and the specific questions they aim to address. Here, we discuss the possible geographical scopes that researchers might adopt:

a. Global Perspective
   Comprehensive Assessment: Some research projects adopt a global perspective, seeking to analyze vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a worldwide scale. These studies aim to provide a holistic view of vulnerability factors, patterns, and trends that transcend national and regional boundaries.
   Global Mapping: Researchers employing a global perspective often create vulnerability maps or indices that highlight areas with varying degrees of vulnerability to climate change impacts. These maps can serve as valuable tools for policymakers, international organizations, and global initiatives seeking to address climate-related crises.
   Cross-Regional Comparisons: A global scope allows for cross-regional comparisons, enabling researchers to identify commonalities and differences in vulnerability factors, adaptation strategies, and resilience efforts across diverse regions and countries.
b. Regional or Country-Specific Focus
   In-Depth Analysis: Alternatively, some research endeavors concentrate on specific regions, countries, or even sub-regions to conduct more detailed and context-specific vulnerability assessments. This approach involves a deeper dive into the local nuances and intricacies of climate-induced vulnerability.
   Case Studies: Researchers may select particular countries or regions as case studies to provide comprehensive insights into vulnerability within those areas. Case studies offer an opportunity to examine the unique challenges, adaptation strategies, and resilience-building efforts in specific geographic contexts.
   Localized Recommendations: By focusing on specific regions or countries, research can yield recommendations that are tailored to the specific needs and vulnerabilities of those areas. These recommendations can be of great practical value for regional policymakers and local communities.
c. Hybrid Approach
   Combining Global and Regional Analyses: Some research projects adopt a hybrid approach that combines both global and regional or country-specific analyses. This approach aims to provide a comprehensive view of vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises by offering macro-level insights while also delving into local complexities.
   Global Contextualization: In hybrid research, global analyses often serve to contextualize regional findings, helping researchers and stakeholders understand how local vulnerabilities fit into the broader global landscape.
   Informed Decision-Making: The hybrid approach can inform decision-making at multiple levels, from international policy development to local community resilience planning.

2.4. Indicators and Variables

Measuring vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises involves assessing a wide range of indicators and variables that capture the complex interplay between climate change impacts, socioeconomic conditions, healthcare systems, infrastructure, and environmental factors. The specific indicators and variables used may vary depending on the research objectives, geographic context, and data availability. Here are some key indicators and variables commonly used to measure vulnerability:

a. Climate Change Indicators:
   (i) Temperature Changes: Historical and projected changes in temperature, including average temperatures and temperature extremes, can indicate vulnerability to heatwaves and temperature-related health risks.

(ii) Precipitation Patterns: Variations in precipitation, including rainfall and drought frequencies, are critical indicators of water availability and food security.
(iii) Extreme Weather Events: Data on the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events such as hurricanes, floods, and wildfires help assess vulnerability to climate-related disasters.
(iv) Sea-Level Rise: Projections of sea-level rise and coastal erosion are vital for evaluating vulnerability in low-lying coastal regions.

b. Socioeconomic Indicators
(i) Income and Poverty Levels: Income disparities and poverty rates are crucial indicators of economic vulnerability, as poorer communities often have limited resources to cope with climate impacts.
(ii) Education Levels: Access to education and literacy rates can influence vulnerability by affecting people's capacity to understand and respond to climate risks.
(iii) Access to Healthcare: Indicators related to healthcare, including the availability of healthcare facilities, healthcare personnel, and health outcomes, help assess vulnerability related to health impacts of climate change.
(iv) Access to Clean Water and Sanitation: Lack of access to clean water sources and sanitation facilities can increase vulnerability to waterborne diseases in the face of climate-induced changes in water availability.

c. Infrastructure and Resilience Indicators:
(i) Infrastructure Resilience: The state of critical infrastructure, such as roads, bridges, energy grids, and hospitals, is assessed to determine vulnerability to climate-related disruptions.
(ii) Disaster Preparedness: Indicators related to disaster preparedness and emergency response capacities are used to evaluate a community's ability to withstand and recover from climate-induced disasters.
(iii) Resilience Capacity: Measures of community resilience, including social cohesion, community organizations, and adaptive capacity, are used to assess the ability to adapt to climate change impacts.

d. Environmental Indicators
(i) Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services: Ecosystem health and the availability of biodiversity are considered as they provide essential services like clean air, water purification, and climate regulation.
(ii) Land Use and Land Cover: Changes in land use, such as deforestation or urbanization, can influence vulnerability by altering local climate and environmental conditions.
(iii) Water Resources: Indicators related to water availability, such as groundwater levels, river flow, and water quality, are critical for assessing vulnerability in regions facing water scarcity.

e. Health Indicators
(i) Disease Vulnerability: Measures of disease prevalence, healthcare access, vaccination rates, and vector-borne disease risks are used to assess vulnerability related to health impacts of climate change.
(ii) Heat-Related Risks: Indicators like urban heat island effects and vulnerability to heat stress are considered in regions with increasing temperatures.

f. Community and Social Indicators
(i) Demographic Composition: Population age, gender, and dependency ratios can affect vulnerability to climate impacts.
(ii) Social Vulnerability Index (SVI): Composite indices like the SVI assess social vulnerability, considering factors such as income, education, and minority status.

2.5. Models and Frameworks Used In The Assessment

In the assessment of vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises, researchers commonly employ various models, frameworks, and methodologies to facilitate their analysis and decision-making. These tools help in quantifying vulnerability, understanding the complex interactions between factors, and evaluating potential outcomes. Here are some models and frameworks frequently used in such assessments:

a. Climate Models
   General Circulation Models (GCMs): GCMs are complex computer models that simulate the Earth's climate system. Researchers use GCMs to project future climate scenarios, including changes in temperature, precipitation, and extreme weather events. These projections are fundamental for assessing climate-related vulnerabilities.
b. Vulnerability Assessment Frameworks:
   (i) **IPCC's Vulnerability Framework**: The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has developed a widely recognized vulnerability framework. It considers exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity as key components of vulnerability. This framework helps assess how climate impacts interact with social and environmental factors.
   (ii) **Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)**: The SVI is a commonly used framework for measuring social vulnerability to climate change. It incorporates indicators related to socioeconomic conditions, healthcare, and demographic factors to assess vulnerability at the community level.
   (iii) **Pressure-State-Response (PSR) Framework**: The PSR framework is used to analyze vulnerability by considering environmental pressures, the state of the environment, and societal responses. It is often applied in the context of environmental vulnerability assessments.
c. Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs)
   IAMs integrate data from various sources, including climate models, socioeconomic data, and environmental data, to assess the complex interactions between climate change and society. They are used to evaluate different scenarios and policy interventions to mitigate vulnerability and adapt to climate change impacts.
d. Scenario Planning
   Scenario-based Assessments: Researchers use scenario planning to explore different future scenarios of vulnerability and adaptation. By considering a range of plausible futures, decision-makers can better prepare for a variety of outcomes.
e. Hydrological Models
   Hydrological models are employed to assess changes in water availability, streamflow, and groundwater recharge patterns, which are crucial for understanding vulnerability to droughts, floods, and changes in water resources.
f. Health Impact Assessment (HIA) Models
   HIA models are used to quantify the potential health impacts of climate change, such as the spread of vector-borne diseases, heat-related illnesses, and waterborne diseases.
g. Economic Models
   Economic models assess the potential economic impacts of climate-induced humanitarian crises. They can project losses in terms of GDP, employment, and infrastructure damage due to climate change impacts.
h. Geospatial Analysis and Geographic Information Systems (GIS)
   GIS tools are widely used to map vulnerability factors and assess spatial patterns of vulnerability. These tools enable researchers to visualize and analyze geographic data.

i. Resilience Frameworks
Community Resilience Frameworks: These frameworks help assess the resilience of communities and regions by considering factors such as social capital, community organization, and adaptive capacity.

3. Result

3.1. Highlight any trends or patterns identified in vulnerability assessments on a global scale.

Vulnerability assessments on a global scale have identified several significant trends and patterns that provide insights into the complex interactions between climate change, socio-economic factors, and humanitarian crises. While specific findings may vary depending on the time period and region studied, here are some common trends and patterns identified in vulnerability assessments:

a. Regional Variability: Vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises varies widely by region. Many assessments have identified hotspots of vulnerability in regions such as sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, Southeast Asia, and parts of Central and South America. These areas often face multiple climate-related stressors, including extreme weather events, water scarcity, and food insecurity.
b. Economic Vulnerability: Lower-income countries and marginalized communities within countries tend to be more vulnerable to climate change impacts. Poverty, limited access to resources, and inadequate infrastructure can exacerbate the effects of climate-related disasters and slow down recovery efforts.
c. Health Impacts: Vulnerability assessments frequently highlight the significant health risks associated with climate change. These include the spread of vector-borne diseases (e.g., malaria, dengue fever), heat-related illnesses, and the disruption of healthcare services during extreme events.
d. Food and Water Security: Climate change affects food and water security, leading to reduced agricultural yields, increased food prices, and water scarcity in vulnerable regions. These trends can exacerbate hunger and malnutrition.
e. Migration and Displacement: Vulnerability assessments often reveal a link between climate change and population displacement. Rising sea levels, droughts, and other climate-related factors can force people to migrate from their homes, leading to potential humanitarian crises.
f. Gender Disparities: Gender disparities in vulnerability are a recurring finding. Women and girls often face higher risks due to traditional gender roles, limited access to resources, and increased responsibilities during crises.
g. Infrastructure Vulnerability: Inadequate infrastructure, including weak housing and transportation systems, is a common vulnerability factor. Substandard infrastructure can result in greater damage and longer recovery times during climate-related disasters.
h. Ecosystem Degradation: Vulnerability assessments recognize the importance of intact ecosystems for resilience. Ecosystem degradation, including deforestation and loss of biodiversity, reduces the natural services that help mitigate climate impacts.
i. Adaptive Capacity: The ability to adapt to climate change varies widely between regions and communities. Assessments often highlight the importance of building adaptive capacity through investments in education, healthcare, infrastructure, and disaster preparedness.
j. Urban Vulnerability: Urban areas are increasingly vulnerable to climate change due to population growth and concentration. Assessments often emphasize the need for climate-resilient urban planning and infrastructure.
k. Interconnectedness of Vulnerabilities: Vulnerabilities are interconnected, meaning that social, economic, and environmental factors can reinforce each other. For example, poverty can exacerbate health vulnerabilities, which in turn can lead to economic setbacks.

l. Global Interdependence: Vulnerability assessments underscore that climate change is a global challenge with interconnected impacts. Climate-related events in one region can have far-reaching consequences, including effects on global food and energy markets.

Vulnerability assessments on a global scale have revealed several recurring trends and patterns that offer valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises. One significant trend is the pronounced regional variability in vulnerability, with certain areas, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, Southeast Asia, and parts of Central and South America, consistently emerging as hotspots of vulnerability. These regions frequently confront a convergence of climate-related stressors, such as extreme weather events, water scarcity, and food insecurity, exacerbating their susceptibility to crises. Economic vulnerability is another prevalent pattern, with lower-income countries and marginalized communities within countries often experiencing heightened vulnerability due to poverty, limited resource access, and inadequate infrastructure. Health impacts of climate change, including the spread of vector-borne diseases and heat-related illnesses, continue to be a concerning trend, as does the disruption of healthcare services during extreme events. Furthermore, food and water security, migration and displacement, gender disparities, infrastructure vulnerabilities, and ecosystem degradation are recurring themes that underscore the multifaceted nature of global vulnerability. These patterns underscore the urgency of targeted adaptation efforts and the importance of addressing underlying inequalities to enhance global resilience in the face of climate change.

3.2. Are there regions or populations that appear to be particularly vulnerable?

Yes, certain regions and populations are notably more vulnerable to climate-induced humanitarian crises based on vulnerability assessments and empirical data. These vulnerabilities are often influenced by a combination of factors, including geographical location, socio-economic conditions, infrastructure, and governance. Here are some regions and populations that appear to be particularly vulnerable:

a. Sub-Saharan Africa: Sub-Saharan Africa is often highlighted as one of the most vulnerable regions due to its exposure to various climate-related stressors, including droughts, floods, and changing rainfall patterns. Many countries in this region have limited adaptive capacity, high poverty rates, and dependence on rain-fed agriculture, making them susceptible to food and water insecurity.
b. Small Island Developing States (SIDS): SIDS, such as those in the Caribbean and the Pacific, face unique vulnerabilities due to their low-lying coastal locations. Rising sea levels, increased storm surges, and saltwater intrusion pose significant threats to infrastructure, freshwater resources, and livelihoods in these regions.
c. Low-Income and Least Developed Countries: Low-income countries and least developed countries (LDCs) often have limited resources and weak infrastructure, amplifying their vulnerability to climate change impacts. These countries frequently experience food and water insecurity, inadequate healthcare systems, and high levels of poverty.
d. Coastal Communities: Coastal communities worldwide are vulnerable to sea-level rise, storm surges, and coastal erosion. This includes not only SIDS but also vulnerable coastal regions in countries like Bangladesh and parts of Southeast Asia, where large populations are at risk.
e. Arctic and High Mountain Regions: The Arctic and high mountain regions are experiencing some of the most rapid temperature increases, resulting in melting glaciers and permafrost. Indigenous communities in these areas face challenges related to changing ecosystems, access to resources, and infrastructure stability.
f. Urban Slums: Informal urban settlements and slums are often highly vulnerable due to overcrowding, inadequate housing, and limited access to basic services. Urban

populations are increasingly at risk as cities expand and climate-related disasters, such as urban flooding, become more frequent.

g. Indigenous Communities: Indigenous communities around the world often have deep connections to their natural environments, making them highly vulnerable to ecosystem changes and disruptions caused by climate change. Loss of traditional livelihoods and cultural heritage are common concerns.
h. Women and Children: Women and children, particularly in impoverished and marginalized communities, often bear a disproportionate burden of climate impacts. They face increased health risks, food insecurity, and reduced access to resources and education.
i. Conflict-Affected Regions: Areas experiencing conflict and political instability are often more vulnerable to climate-induced crises due to disrupted governance, weakened infrastructure, and compromised livelihoods.

It's essential to note that vulnerability is not static and can change over time due to various factors, including socio-economic development, adaptation efforts, and global climate trends. Vulnerability assessments are crucial for identifying these at-risk regions and populations and for informing targeted interventions and policies aimed at reducing vulnerability and enhancing resilience.

4. Discussion

4.1. Discuss the policy implications

The policy implications of research on assessing vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale are significant and wide-ranging. Such research provides crucial insights for policymakers at local, national, and international levels to develop effective strategies and policies for mitigating vulnerability and enhancing resilience. Here are some key policy implications:

a. Targeted Adaptation Efforts
   Research findings can inform the development of targeted adaptation strategies tailored to the specific vulnerabilities identified in different regions and among different populations. Policymakers can allocate resources more efficiently by prioritizing interventions based on the most pressing vulnerabilities.
b. Investment in Climate-Resilient Infrastructure
   The research underscores the importance of investing in climate-resilient infrastructure, including robust housing, transportation networks, and healthcare facilities. Policymakers can use this information to prioritize infrastructure projects that can withstand climate-related stressors.
c. Enhanced Early Warning Systems
   Vulnerability assessments highlight the importance of early warning systems for extreme weather events and other climate-related hazards. Policymakers can allocate resources to improve and expand early warning systems to ensure timely responses and minimize casualties.
d. Poverty Alleviation and Social Safety Nets
   Given the link between poverty and vulnerability, policymakers can prioritize poverty alleviation programs and social safety nets to support vulnerable populations. Cash transfer programs, food assistance, and social insurance can help reduce the impact of climate-induced crises on disadvantaged communities.
e. Healthcare Preparedness
   Research findings emphasize the need for robust healthcare systems and disaster preparedness plans. Policymakers can allocate resources to strengthen healthcare infrastructure and ensure that healthcare facilities are equipped to handle climate-related health risks.

f. Ecosystem Conservation and Restoration
Protecting and restoring ecosystems, including mangroves, forests, and wetlands, is crucial for reducing vulnerability. Policymakers can implement policies and incentives to promote ecosystem conservation and sustainable land use practices.
g. Education and Awareness
Education and awareness campaigns can empower communities to take proactive measures to reduce vulnerability. Policymakers can support educational initiatives that raise awareness about climate risks and adaptation strategies.
h. Gender-Responsive Policies
Gender disparities in vulnerability call for gender-responsive policies that address the unique challenges faced by women and girls. Policymakers can integrate gender considerations into climate adaptation and resilience-building efforts.
i. International Cooperation and Climate Finance
Climate change is a global challenge, and research on vulnerability underscores the importance of international cooperation. Policymakers can engage in global climate negotiations, access climate finance mechanisms, and support adaptation projects in vulnerable countries.
j. Conflict Prevention and Resolution
In regions where climate-induced humanitarian crises intersect with conflict, policymakers can work to prevent and resolve conflicts to reduce vulnerabilities. Peacebuilding efforts can complement climate adaptation strategies.
k. Migration Policies
Policymakers need to consider how to manage climate-induced migration, including providing support and protection for displaced populations. Developing policies that address the rights and needs of climate refugees is essential.
l. Long-Term Planning and Resilience Building
Policymakers should engage in long-term planning that prioritizes resilience building across sectors. This includes incorporating climate resilience into urban planning, agriculture, water resource management, and disaster risk reduction strategies.

The research on assessing vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale carries significant policy implications. It underscores the urgency for policymakers to prioritize climate resilience and adaptation efforts. One crucial policy implication is the need for targeted adaptation strategies that consider the specific vulnerabilities identified in different regions and among different populations. Policymakers can use this information to allocate resources effectively and prioritize interventions where they are most needed. Additionally, the research emphasizes the importance of investing in climate-resilient infrastructure, including housing, transportation, and healthcare facilities. Improved early warning systems for extreme weather events and other climate-related hazards are also paramount, helping policymakers enhance disaster preparedness and response. The link between poverty and vulnerability calls for policies focused on poverty alleviation and social safety nets, while robust healthcare systems and ecosystem conservation are essential components of a comprehensive climate resilience strategy. Policymakers must also consider gender-responsive policies, international cooperation, and conflict prevention measures as part of their holistic approach to reducing vulnerability and building a more resilient world.

4.2. How can the findings be used to inform policy decisions at local, national, or international levels?

The findings derived from research on vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises hold substantial potential to inform policy decisions at multiple levels, from the local to the international. At the local level, these findings can empower community-based adaptation efforts, aiding local authorities in tailoring strategies to address specific vulnerabilities identified within their regions. Urban planning can incorporate climate-

resilient infrastructure based on the research, enhancing the preparedness of cities and municipalities. Public awareness campaigns can be launched to educate residents about climate risks and promote resilient behaviors. Nationally, policymakers can utilize these findings to shape comprehensive climate adaptation policies, addressing vulnerabilities across communities and regions. They can allocate resources judiciously to prioritize high-risk areas and vulnerable populations. Legislation can be enacted to mandate climate-resilient construction and other measures. The research can also influence healthcare planning and disaster response strategies. Internationally, research findings can guide national delegations in global climate negotiations, helping them advocate for policies that address vulnerabilities. Climate finance can be allocated more effectively based on vulnerability assessments. Humanitarian aid organizations can prioritize support for regions identified as highly vulnerable. In all cases, cross-sectoral collaboration is essential for the successful implementation of climate adaptation policies, and ongoing monitoring and evaluation mechanisms can ensure the effectiveness of these policies as vulnerability assessments evolve over time. Ultimately, research findings serve as a cornerstone for evidence-based policymaking, fostering resilience and reducing vulnerability to the multifaceted challenges posed by climate change.

The findings from research on vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises can be invaluable in informing policy decisions at various levels, including local, national, and international. Here's how these findings can be applied:

a. Local Level:

   Community-Based Adaptation: Local governments can use the research findings to engage with communities and develop community-based adaptation strategies tailored to the specific vulnerabilities and needs identified in their regions.

   Urban Planning: City and municipal authorities can incorporate the research into urban planning processes, considering climate-resilient infrastructure, flood control measures, and disaster preparedness in their development plans.

   Public Awareness: Local policymakers can launch public awareness campaigns to inform residents about climate risks and encourage climate-resilient behaviors.

b. National Level:

   Policy Formulation: National governments can use the research to formulate climate adaptation policies that address vulnerabilities across regions and communities. These policies can encompass strategies for agriculture, healthcare, disaster management, and more.

   Resource Allocation: The findings can guide the allocation of resources for climate adaptation efforts, allowing governments to prioritize high-risk areas and vulnerable populations.

   Legislation: Policymakers can enact legislation that mandates climate resilience measures, such as building codes that require climate-resilient construction.

   Healthcare Planning: National health agencies can integrate climate-induced health risks into healthcare planning and resource allocation.

   Disaster Response: National disaster management agencies can update response plans based on research findings, ensuring they are well-prepared for climate-related emergencies.

c. International Level:

   Global Climate Negotiations: The research can inform national delegations in global climate negotiations, enabling them to advocate for policies that address the vulnerabilities of their countries and regions.

   Climate Finance Allocation: International organizations can use research findings to allocate climate finance to vulnerable countries and support adaptation projects.

   Humanitarian Aid: International humanitarian organizations can prioritize support for regions identified as highly vulnerable to climate-induced crises.

Conflict Resolution: In regions where climate change intersects with conflict, international bodies can play a role in conflict resolution and peacebuilding efforts.

d. Cross-Sectoral Collaboration:
Policymakers at all levels should promote cross-sectoral collaboration. Climate vulnerability often cuts across various sectors, and collaboration between different government agencies, NGOs, and community organizations is essential for effective policy implementation.

4.3. Are there recommendations for mitigating vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises?

There are vital recommendations for mitigating vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises. These recommendations encompass a diverse array of strategies aimed at proactively reducing vulnerability and enhancing resilience. Key suggestions include investing in climate-resilient infrastructure, such as flood-resistant buildings, and bolstering disaster preparedness and early warning systems to provide timely response mechanisms. Community-based adaptation initiatives empower local communities to implement tailored resilience measures. Sustainable land use practices and ecosystem conservation protect natural buffers against climate impacts, while climate-resilient agriculture ensures food security. Strengthening healthcare systems, implementing poverty alleviation programs, and raising public awareness are also vital. Gender-responsive policies, international cooperation, and conflict prevention efforts play pivotal roles, as do climate-resilient urban planning, long-term strategies, and disaster risk reduction measures. These recommendations collectively form a holistic approach to reduce vulnerability and navigate the challenges posed by climate change effectively.

Here are some key recommendations:

a. Invest in Climate-Resilient Infrastructure
Develop and upgrade infrastructure that can withstand climate-related stressors, such as flood-resistant buildings, resilient transportation networks, and climate-smart agriculture practices.

b. Disaster Preparedness and Early Warning Systems
Enhance disaster preparedness plans and early warning systems to provide timely alerts and response mechanisms for extreme weather events and climate-related hazards.

c. Community-Based Adaptation
Encourage and support community-based adaptation initiatives that empower local communities to identify and implement resilience-building measures tailored to their specific vulnerabilities.

d. Sustainable Land Use and Ecosystem Conservation
Promote sustainable land use practices and conservation of ecosystems (e.g., wetlands, mangroves, forests) to protect natural buffers against climate impacts and maintain biodiversity.

e. Climate-Resilient Agriculture
Implement climate-resilient agricultural practices, such as drought-resistant crop varieties, improved irrigation systems, and soil conservation measures to ensure food security.

f. Healthcare and Public Health Preparedness
Strengthen healthcare systems to address climate-induced health risks and prioritize public health preparedness for climate-related health emergencies.

g. Poverty Alleviation and Social Safety Nets
Implement poverty alleviation programs and social safety nets to reduce vulnerability among disadvantaged populations and provide support during crises.

h. Education and Awareness
Launch educational campaigns to raise awareness about climate risks and resilience-building measures, targeting both the general public and policymakers.
i. Gender-Responsive Policies
Integrate gender considerations into climate policies to address the unique vulnerabilities of women and girls and empower them in adaptation efforts.
j. International Cooperation and Climate Finance
Collaborate internationally to address climate change as a global challenge, ensuring adequate climate finance for adaptation efforts in vulnerable countries.
k. Conflict Prevention and Resolution
Work to prevent and resolve conflicts, especially in regions where climate change intersects with political instability, as conflicts can exacerbate vulnerability.
l. Urban Planning and Resilience
Develop and implement climate-resilient urban planning strategies, including green infrastructure, flood management, and sustainable transportation systems.
m. Research and Monitoring
Continue to invest in vulnerability assessments, research, and monitoring to stay informed about evolving vulnerabilities and adapt policies accordingly.
n. Cross-Sectoral Collaboration
Promote collaboration between different government agencies, NGOs, community organizations, and sectors to holistically address vulnerability.
o. Long-Term Planning
Engage in long-term planning that prioritizes climate resilience across various sectors, integrating climate considerations into development plans.
p. Disaster Risk Reduction
Implement disaster risk reduction strategies that emphasize risk reduction, preparedness, and resilience-building rather than just response and recovery.
q. Migration Policies
Develop policies and frameworks that address climate-induced migration, protecting the rights and needs of climate refugees and internally displaced populations.

4.4. Significance

This research is profoundly important because it delves into the critical intersection of climate change and humanitarian crises, offering insights that are crucial for both immediate and long-term global well-being. In a world experiencing increasingly frequent and severe climate-related disasters, this research provides a lifeline by identifying who is most vulnerable and why. It lays the foundation for targeted, evidence-based policies and interventions that can save lives, protect livelihoods, and reduce suffering in the face of climate-induced disasters. Furthermore, it underscores the urgent need for global collaboration, emphasizing that climate change transcends borders and requires collective efforts to build resilience and mitigate the impact of these crises. Ultimately, this research is pivotal for shaping a sustainable and equitable future, safeguarding communities from the devastating consequences of a changing climate, and advancing the cause of social and environmental justice on a global scale.

Understanding and addressing vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale has the potential to usher in profound positive changes with far-reaching impacts. Firstly, it can save countless lives by enabling proactive preparedness and targeted response efforts. By identifying vulnerable populations and regions in advance, resources and support can be channeled where they are most needed, reducing casualties and human suffering during climate-related disasters.

Moreover, this understanding can foster resilience and sustainable development. Communities, nations, and international bodies armed with vulnerability insights can implement long-term strategies that reduce the risk of crises, thereby safeguarding progress

towards sustainable development goals. Poverty reduction, improved healthcare, gender equality, and environmental conservation are all advanced when vulnerability is addressed systematically.

On a broader scale, grasping the complexities of vulnerability can stimulate international cooperation, strengthening global solidarity in the face of climate change. This research can inform international negotiations, climate finance allocation, and humanitarian assistance, fostering a more equitable and collaborative response to shared challenges. Furthermore, it encourages a paradigm shift towards proactive adaptation. As we comprehend the intricacies of vulnerability, societies are more inclined to invest in climate-resilient infrastructure, sustainable land use practices, and ecosystem conservation, leading to economic stability and ecological sustainability.

In essence, understanding and addressing vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises is not only a humanitarian imperative but also a catalyst for a more resilient, equitable, and sustainable global future. It empowers societies to protect lives, promote social justice, and mitigate the impacts of climate change, ultimately shaping a world better prepared to confront the challenges of a warming planet.

4.5. Limitations

It is important to acknowledge certain limitations associated with research on vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale. Firstly, vulnerability assessments often rely on complex models and data, which can introduce uncertainties. These models are based on historical data and may not fully capture the dynamic and evolving nature of climate change impacts and human vulnerabilities. Additionally, the availability and quality of data can vary widely across regions, potentially leading to disparities in the accuracy of vulnerability assessments.

Furthermore, vulnerability assessments may struggle to account for the intricacies of local contexts and community-level vulnerabilities, which can be highly context-specific. As a result, there may be limitations in the ability to provide granular, localized recommendations for adaptation and resilience strategies.

Additionally, vulnerability assessments may not always consider all possible future scenarios, including extreme, low-probability events, which can pose substantial risks. There might also be challenges in predicting the indirect and cascading effects of climate-induced crises, such as economic, political, and social consequences.

Lastly, the effectiveness of policies and strategies informed by vulnerability research can be influenced by political will, governance capacity, and resource allocation, which can vary widely between regions and countries. This variation can impact the successful implementation of recommendations and may limit their impact.

Recognizing these limitations is essential for interpreting and applying research findings effectively. It underscores the need for ongoing research, data refinement, and a nuanced understanding of vulnerability to ensure that policy decisions and actions are as informed and effective as possible in addressing the complex challenges posed by climate change.

The research on vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale encounters several significant limitations that necessitate careful consideration. Data limitations are a central concern, as vulnerability assessments often rely on historical data, which may not encompass the full range of future climate change scenarios. Inaccuracies or gaps in data availability can introduce uncertainties into the assessment process, potentially skewing results. Methodological constraints are another challenge, as vulnerability assessments involve complex modeling techniques that attempt to predict future impacts based on historical trends. These models may not fully capture the complexity and dynamics of climate change and human vulnerabilities, leading to limitations in predictive accuracy. Additionally, uncertainties in the assessment process can arise from variations in data quality and reliability across regions and communities, which may not

always be accounted for. Recognizing and addressing these limitations is crucial for ensuring that policy decisions and adaptation strategies are grounded in a realistic understanding of vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises.

4.6. Future Directions

The field of vulnerability assessment in the context of climate-induced humanitarian crises offers numerous avenues for future research and refinement. Firstly, there's a need for more robust and granular data collection methods, particularly in regions with limited data availability. Advancements in data gathering techniques, such as remote sensing and crowd-sourced data, could provide more detailed insights into vulnerabilities at the local level. Secondly, research can delve deeper into the interconnections between different vulnerability factors. Understanding how socio-economic, environmental, and governance-related vulnerabilities interact and amplify each other can lead to more holistic vulnerability assessments and more effective adaptation strategies. Thirdly, the development of predictive models and scenario planning tools can benefit from further refinement. These models should consider a wider range of climate change scenarios, including extreme events, to better anticipate and prepare for the full spectrum of potential impacts. Moreover, research can explore the long-term effects of climate-induced humanitarian crises, including their impacts on economic development, social stability, and human migration patterns. Understanding the cascading consequences of such crises is essential for comprehensive resilience planning. Additionally, assessing the effectiveness of adaptation strategies and policies in reducing vulnerability is an area ripe for investigation. Longitudinal studies and evaluations of real-world adaptation efforts can provide insights into which strategies are most successful in enhancing resilience. Finally, exploring innovative approaches to vulnerability reduction, such as nature-based solutions, community-led initiatives, and cross-sectoral collaborations, can enrich the field and offer new pathways to resilience. In summary, the field of vulnerability assessment in the context of climate-induced humanitarian crises continues to evolve, presenting exciting opportunities for research and refinement. Advancements in data collection, modeling, and a deeper understanding of vulnerability dynamics can ultimately contribute to more effective strategies for mitigating the impacts of climate change on vulnerable populations.

5. Conclusions

This research on assessing vulnerability to climate-induced humanitarian crises on a global scale underscores the pressing need to address the multifaceted challenges posed by climate change. It has shed light on the intricate web of factors contributing to vulnerability, from socio-economic disparities to environmental degradation, and highlighted the disproportionate impacts on marginalized populations. Importantly, the research emphasizes the urgency of proactive adaptation efforts, from climate-resilient infrastructure development to community-based adaptation initiatives. It has offered valuable insights that can inform evidence-based policies and strategies aimed at mitigating vulnerability and enhancing resilience at local, national, and international levels. As we confront the escalating threats of climate-induced humanitarian crises, this research serves as a beacon, guiding us toward a more resilient, equitable, and sustainable future where the impacts of climate change can be mitigated, and the welfare of vulnerable communities protected..

References

Adato, M., Hoddinott, J., & Haddad, L. J. (2005). *Power, politics, and performance: community participation in South African public works programs* (Vol. 143). Intl Food Policy Res Inst.

Annear, M., Keeling, S., & Wilkinson, T. (2014). Participatory and evidence-based recommendations for urban redevelopment following natural disasters: Older adults as policy advisers. *Australasian Journal on Ageing*, *33*(1), 43–49.

Anthony, W., Rogers, E. S., & Farkas, M. (2003). Research on evidence-based practices: Future directions in an era of recovery.

Community Mental Health Journal, *39*, 101–114.

Ashman, D. (2001). Civil society collaboration with business: Bringing empowerment back in. *World Development*, *29*(7), 1097–1113.

Baldwin, A., Fröhlich, C., & Rothe, D. (2019). From climate migration to anthropocene mobilities: shifting the debate. In *Mobilities* (Vol. 14, Issue 3, pp. 289–297). Taylor & Francis.

Bellamy, J. L., Bledsoe, S. E., & Traube, D. E. (2006). The current state of evidence-based practice in social work: A review of the literature and qualitative analysis of expert interviews. *Journal of Evidence-Based Social Work*, *3*(1), 23–48.

Beniston, M., & Stephenson, D. B. (2004). Extreme climatic events and their evolution under changing climatic conditions. *Global and Planetary Change*, *44*(1–4), 1–9.

Birkmann, J., & von Teichman, K. (2010). Integrating disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation: key challenges—scales, knowledge, and norms. *Sustainability Science*, *5*, 171–184.

Bora, S., Ceccacci, I., Delgado, C., & Townsend, R. (2011). *Food security and conflict*. World Bank.

Bours, D., McGinn, C., & Pringle, P. (2014). Monitoring & evaluation for climate change adaptation and resilience: A synthesis of tools, frameworks and approaches. *SEA Change Community of Practice, and UK Climate Impacts Programme*.

Brauch, H. G. (2009). Securitizing global environmental change. In *Facing global environmental change: Environmental, human, energy, food, health and water security concepts* (pp. 65–102). Springer.

Bronen, R. (2011). Climate-induced community relocations: creating an adaptive governance framework based in human rights doctrine. *NYU Rev. L. & Soc. Change*, *35*, 357.

Caballero-Anthony, M. (2005). SARS in Asia: crisis, vulnerabilities, and regional responses. *Asian Survey*, *45*(3), 475–495.

Chakraborty, A., & Joshi, P. K. (2016). Mapping disaster vulnerability in India using analytical hierarchy process. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, *7*(1), 308–325.

Cottle, S. (2009). Global crises in the news: Staging new wars, disasters and climate change. *International Journal of Communication*, *3*, 24.

Doshi, D., Toledo, A. I. S., al Cambio Climático, J. P. F., Schudel, L., Kemeh, E. H. S. S. D., & Network, A. G. G. (n.d.). *ADAPT FOR OUR FUTURE: YOUTH AND CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION*.

Easterling, D. R., Evans, J. L., Groisman, P. Y., Karl, T. R., Kunkel, K. E., & Ambenje, P. (2000). Observed variability and trends in extreme climate events: a brief review. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *81*(3), 417–426.

Eller, W. S., Gerber, B. J., & Robinson, S. E. (2018). *Public administration research methods: Tools for evaluation and evidence-based practice*. Routledge.

Foley, P., & Martin, S. (2000). A new deal for the community? Public participation in regeneration and local service delivery. *Policy & Politics*, *28*(4), 479–492.

Han, H., & Ahn, S. W. (2020). Youth mobilization to stop global climate change: Narratives and impact. *Sustainability*, *12*(10), 4127.

Handmer, J., & Dovers, S. (2013). *Handbook of disaster policies and institutions: improving emergency management and climate change adaptation*. Routledge.

Hayes, K., Blashki, G., Wiseman, J., Burke, S., & Reifels, L. (2018). Climate change and mental health: risks, impacts and priority actions. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, *12*(1), 1–12.

Hinkel, J. (2011). "Indicators of vulnerability and adaptive capacity": towards a clarification of the science–policy interface. *Global Environmental Change*, *21*(1), 198–208.

Hung, K. K. C., Mashino, S., Chan, E. Y. Y., MacDermot, M. K., Balsari, S., Ciottone, G. R., Della Corte, F., Dell'Aringa, M. F., Egawa, S., & Evio, B. D. (2021). Health workforce development in health emergency and disaster risk management: The need for evidence-based recommendations. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(7), 3382.

Izaurralde, R. C., Rosenberg, N. J., & Lal, R. (2001). *Mitigation of climatic change by soil carbon sequestration: issues of science, monitoring, and degraded lands*.

Jones, R. N., & Preston, B. L. (2011). Adaptation and risk management. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *2*(2), 296–308.

Kasperson, R. E., & Kasperson, J. X. (2012). Climate change, vulnerability and social justice. In *Social Contours of Risk* (pp. 301–321).

Routledge.

Kieft, J., & Nur, A. (2002). Community-based disaster management: a response to increased risks to disaster with emphasis on forest fires. *Communities in Flames: Proceedings of an International Conference on Community Involvement in Fire Management*, 81–89.

Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research methodology: Methods and techniques*. New Age International.

Lahsen, M., Sanchez-Rodriguez, R., Lankao, P. R., Dube, P., Leemans, R., Gaffney, O., Mirza, M., Pinho, P., Osman-Elasha, B., & Smith, M. S. (2010). Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability to global environmental change: challenges and pathways for an action-oriented research agenda for middle-income and low-income countries. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *2*(5–6), 364–374.

Luetz, J. M., & Merson, J. (2020). Climate change and human migration as adaptation: Conceptual and practical challenges and opportunities. *Climate Action*, 120–132.

McAdam, J. (2012). *Climate change, forced migration, and international law*. Oxford University Press.

McMahon, P. C. (2001). Building civil societies in East Central Europe: The effect of American non-governmental organizations on women's groups. *Democratization*, *8*(2), 45–68.

McMichael, A. J. (2003). *Climate change and human health: risks and responses*. World Health Organization.

McMichael, C., Barnett, J., & McMichael, A. J. (2012). An ill wind? Climate change, migration, and health. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *120*(5), 646–654.

Oliver-Smith, A. (2016). The concepts of adaptation, vulnerability, and resilience in the anthropology of climate change: considering the case of displacement and migration. *Anthropology and Climate Change: From Actions to Transformations*, *58*.

Ouma, Y. O., & Tateishi, R. (2014). Urban flood vulnerability and risk mapping using integrated multi-parametric AHP and GIS: methodological overview and case study assessment. *Water*, *6*(6), 1515–1545.

Owen, G. (2020). What makes climate change adaptation effective? A systematic review of the literature. *Global Environmental Change*, *62*, 102071.

Patz, J. A., McGeehin, M. A., Bernard, S. M., Ebi, K. L., Epstein, P. R., Grambsch, A., Gubler, D. J., Reither, P., Romieu, I., & Rose, J. B. (2000). The potential health impacts of climate variability and change for the United States: executive summary of the report of the health sector of the US National Assessment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *108*(4), 367–376.

Pelling, M. (2010). *Adaptation to climate change: from resilience to transformation*. Routledge.

Pinkse, J., & Kolk, A. (2009). *International business and global climate change*. Routledge.

Prasad, N., Ranghieri, F., Shah, F., Trohanis, Z., Kessler, E., & Sinha, R. (2009). *Climate resilient cities: A primer on reducing vulnerabilities to disasters*. World Bank Publications.

Preston, B. L., Yuen, E. J., & Westaway, R. M. (2011). Putting vulnerability to climate change on the map: a review of approaches, benefits, and risks. *Sustainability Science*, *6*, 177–202.

Ribot, J. (2017). Cause and response: vulnerability and climate in the Anthropocene. In *New Directions in Agrarian Political Economy* (pp. 27–66). Routledge.

Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. (2014). *Design and development research: Methods, strategies, and issues*. Routledge.

Sadoff, C., & Muller, M. (2009). *Water management, water security and climate change adaptation: early impacts and essential responses*. Global Water Partnership Stockholm.

Schipper, L., & Pelling, M. (2006). Disaster risk, climate change and international development: scope for, and challenges to, integration. *Disasters*, *30*(1), 19–38.

Shrivastava, P., Smith, M. S., O'Brien, K., & Zsolnai, L. (2020). Transforming sustainability science to generate positive social and environmental change globally. *One Earth*, *2*(4), 329–340.

Silva Villanueva, P. (2011). *Learning to ADAPT: monitoring and evaluation approaches in climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction–challenges, gaps and ways forward*.

Simpson, N. P., Mach, K. J., Constable, A., Hess, J., Hogarth, R., Howden, M., Lawrence, J., Lempert, R. J., Muccione, V., & Mackey, B. (2021). A framework for complex climate change risk assessment. *One Earth*, *4*(4), 489–501.

Sperling, F., & Szekely, F. (2005). *Disaster risk management in a changing climate*. Vulnerability and Adaptation Resource Group (VARG).

Thomas, A., & Benjamin, L. (2018). Policies and mechanisms to address climate-induced migration and displacement in Pacific and Caribbean small island developing states. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, *10*(1), 86–104.

Warner, K. (2010). Global environmental change and migration: Governance challenges. *Global Environmental Change*, *20*(3), 402–413.

Warner, K., Hamza, M., Oliver-Smith, A., Renaud, F., & Julca, A. (2010). Climate change, environmental degradation and migration. *Natural Hazards*, *55*, 689–715.

White, S. (2010). Disaster management in Asia. In *Asia's response to climate change and natural disasters: Implications for an evolving regional architecture* (pp. 61–98). JSTOR.

Winn, M., Kirchgeorg, M., Griffiths, A., Linnenluecke, M. K., & Günther, E. (2011). Impacts from climate change on organizations: a conceptual foundation. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *20*(3), 157–173.

Zuccaro, G., Leone, M. F., & Martucci, C. (2020). Future research and innovation priorities in the field of natural hazards, disaster risk reduction, disaster risk management and climate change adaptation: A shared vision from the ESPREssO project. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *51*, 101783.